

Judge's Name:		
Project Title::	ID:	

Directions: Circle the number that best describes the Project. The lowest score is zero and the highest score is three

**PROBLEM:** To what degree is the problem new and/or different and how well is it written?

- (0) no problem statement
- (1) Incomplete problem statement
- (2) Complete problem statement, and well written
- (3) Complete, well-written problem statement and a new idea for the student

## **HYPOTHESIS:** To what degree is this a testable prediction?

- (0) no hypothesis
- (1) Incomplete hypothesis
- (2) Hypothesis present, but not completely testable
- (3) Well-written testable hypothesis

## **EXPERIMENTAL DFSIGN**:

How well is the plan developed to validate the hypothesis?

- (0) locks overall plan to validate or confirm the hypothesis
- (1) Partial plan validate or confirm the hypothesis
- (2) Sufficient plan to validate or confirm the hypothesis
- (3) Exemplary plan to validate or confim1 the hypothesis

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**: To what degree does the sequential experimental process connect the hypothesis, data, and results?

- (0) experimental procedures are not listed
- (1) Experimental procedures are incomplete and/or not listed step by step
- (2) Experimental procedures are complete and listed step-by-step
- (3) Experimental procedures are quantitatively and/or qualitatively listed step by step

#### **VARIABLES AND CONTROLS**: How well are the variables identified and controlled?

- (0) no variables are identified or controlled
- (1) variables are identified but not controlled
- (2.) Variables identified and some variables are controlled
- (3) Variables are carefully identified and controlled

# MATERIALS/ EQUIPMENT: How were the items utilized in appropriate and/or new ways?

- (0) no materials or equipment identified or used in an unsafe manner
- (1) Materials not appropriately identified and/or used safely



- (2) Materials appropriately identified and used safely
- (3) Materials carefully identified and used above expectations

**<u>DATA COLLECTION</u>**: To what degree are the method, number of trials, and quantity of data appropriate?

- (0) no quantitative data collected
- (1) Insufficient data collected
- (2) Sufficiently data collected
- (3) Data collected above expectations

**DATA PREENTATION**: How well. do the graphs, tables, logs, pictures, charts, or other visual aids present the data'?

- (0) no presentation of data
- (1) Data partially and/or not clearly presented
- (2) Data sufficiently and clearly presented
- (3) Data presentation exceeds expectations

**DATA ANALYSIS**: How well are the results interpreted? How well have the possible errors been analyzed?

- (0) no interpretation of data
- (1) Partial interpretation of data
- (2) Correct mid appropriate interpretation of data
- (3) Comprehensive and significant interpretation of data with error analysis

## **OUTCOMES:**

How well are the conclusions and/or products identified and interpreted?

How important are the findings?

- (0) no conclusions and/or products identified
- (1) Incomplete conclusions and/or products identified
- (2) Apparent conclusions and/or products identified
- (3) Inherent, significant conclusions and/or products clearly identified

**APPLICATIONS:** How well are new relationships, ideas and/or additional investigations identified and presented.?

- (0) no applications identified
- (1) Applications vaguely identified
- (2) Apparent applications identified
- (3) Significant, practical applications identified



VISUAL DISPLAY: How well is the project constructed and organized? Are spelling and sentence structure correct?

- (0) poor display, construction, and/or grammar
- (1) Fair display construction and/or grammar
- (2) Good display, construction and/or grammar
- (3) Exemplary display, construction and/or grammar

**ORAL PRESENTATION**: How clear and well prepared is the presentation? How complete is the presenter's knowledge and use of resources?

- (0) poor presentation; .lack of knowledge/no use of resources
- (1) Fair presentation; little knowledge/poor use of resources
- (2) Good presentation; adequate knowledge/adequate use of resources

### **INTERVIEW**: How precisely are questions answered?

How complete is the student's understanding of the experimental work?

- (0) cannot answer questions adequately and precisely
- (1) Partially answered questions
- (2) Adequate, precise answers to most questions
- (3) Adequate, precise answers to all questions